# Using R to score personality scales\*

# William Revelle Northwestern University

November 20, 2016

#### Abstract

The psych package (Revelle, 2016) was developed to perform most basic psychometric functions using R (R Core Team, 2016) A common problem is the need to take a set of items (e.g., a questionnaire) and score one or more scales on that questionnaire. Scores for subsequent analysis, reliabilities and intercorrelations are easily done using the scoreItems function.

# Contents

1	Ove	erview of this and related documents	2								
2	Ove	erview for the impatient	2								
3	An example										
	3.1	Getting the data	3								
		3.1.1 Reading from a local file	3								
	3.2	Reading from a remote file	3								
		3.2.1 Read from the clipboard	4								
		3.2.2 An example data set									
	3.3	Reading data from a Qualtrics data set	5								
4	Sco	ring scales: an example	5								
	4.1	Long output	7								
	4.2	Correcting for overlapping items across scales	8								
	4.3	Get the actual scores for analysis									
	4.4	The example, continued	10								
5	Exploring a real data set										
	5.1	Conventional reliability and scoring	10								
	5.2	Show the correction for overlap	12								

<sup>\*</sup>Part of a set of tutorials for the psych package.

#### 1 Overview of this and related documents

To do basic and advanced personality and psychological research using R is not as complicated as some think. This is one of a set of "How To" to do various things using R (R Core Team, 2016), particularly using the *psych* (Revelle, 2016) package.

The current list of How To's includes:

- 1. Installing R and some useful packages
- 2. Using R and the psych package to find omega<sub>h</sub> and  $\omega_t$ .
- 3. Using R and the psych for factor analysis and principal components analysis.
- 4. Using the score.items function to find scale scores and scale statistics. (this document)
- 5. An overview (vignette) of the psych package

By following these simple guides, you soon will be able to do such things as find scale scores by issuing just five lines of code:

```
R code

library(psych)
my.data <- read.clipboard()
my.keys <- make.keys(my.data,list(scale1 = c(1,4,5),scale2 = c(2,3,6)) #etec
my.scales <- scoreItems(my.keys,my.data)
my.scales
```

The resulting output will be both graphical and textual.

This guide helps the naive R user to issue those three lines. Be careful, for once you start using R, you will want to do more.

Suppose you have given a questionnaire with some items (n) to some participants (N). You would like to create scale scores for each person on k different scales. This may be done using the *psych* package in R. The following assumes that you have installed R and downloaded the *psych* package.

# 2 Overview for the impatient

Remember, before using psych you must make it active:

```
library(psych)
```

- 1. Enter the data into a spreadsheet (Excel or Numbers) or a text file using a text editor (Word, Pages, BBEdit). The first line of the file should include names for the variables (e.g., Q1, Q2, ... Qn).
- 2. Copy the data to the clipboard (using the normal copy command for your spreadsheet or word processor).
- 3. Read the data into R using the read.clipboard command. (Depending upon your data file, this might need to be read.clipboard.csv (for comma separated data fields) or read.clipboard.tab (for tab separated data fields).

- 4. Construct a set of scoring keys for the scales you want to score using the make.keys function. This is simply the item numbers that go into each scale. A negative sign implies that the item will be reverse scored.
- 5. Use the scoreItems function to score the scales.
- 6. Use the output for scoreItems for further analysis.

# 3 An example

Suppose we have 12 items for 200 subjects. The items represent 4 different scales: Positive Energetic Arousal (EAp), Negative Energetic Arousal (EAn), Tense Arousal (TAp) and negative Tense Arousal (TAn, also known as being relaxed). These four scales can also be thought of a forming two higher order constructs, Energetic Arousal (EA) and Tense Arousal (TA). EA is just EAp - EAn, and similarly TA is just TAp - TAn.

## 3.1 Getting the data

There are, of course, many ways to enter data into R.

#### 3.1.1 Reading from a local file

Reading from a local file using read.table is perhaps the most preferred. You first need to find the file and then read it. This can be done with the file.choose and read.table functions. file.choose opens a search window on your system just like any open file command does. It doesn't actually read the file, it just finds the file. The read command is also necessary.

```
file.name <- file.choose()
my.data <- read.table(file.name)
```

Even easier is to use the read.file function which combines the file.chooose and read.table functions into one function. In addition, read.file will read normal text (txt) files, comma separated files (csv), SPSS (sav) files as well as files saved by R(rds) files. By default, it assumes that the first line of the file has header information (variable names).

```
my.data <- read.file() #locate the file to read using your normal system.
```

#### 3.2 Reading from a remote file

To read from a file saved on a remote server, you just need to specify the file name and then read it. By using the read.file function, you can read a variety of file types (e.g., text, txt, csv, sav, rds) from the remote server.

```
File.name <- "http://personality-project.org/r/psych/HowTo/scoring.tutorial/small.msq"
my.data <- read.file(file.name)
```

#### 3.2.1 Read from the clipboard

Many users find it more convenient to enter their data in a text editor or spreadsheet program and then just copy and paste into R. The read.clipboard set of functions are perhaps more user friendly:

read.clipboard is the base function for reading data from the clipboard.

read.clipboard.csv for reading text that is comma delimited.

read.clipboard.tab for reading text that is tab delimited (e.g., copied directly from an Excel file).

read.clipboard.lower for reading input of a lower triangular matrix with or without a diagonal. The resulting object is a square matrix.

read.clipboard.upper for reading input of an upper triangular matrix.

read.clipboard.fwf for reading in fixed width fields (some very old data sets)

For example, given a data set copied to the clipboard from a spreadsheet, just enter the command.

```
my.data <- read.clipboard() #note the parentheses
```

This will work if every data field has a value and even missing data are given some values (e.g., NA or -999). If the data were entered in a spreadsheet and the missing values were just empty cells, then the data should be read in as a tab delimited or by using the read.clipboard.tab function. R code

```
my.tab.data <- read.clipboard.tab() #This is for data from a spreadsheet
```

For the case of data in fixed width fields (some old data sets tend to have this format), copy to the clipboard and then specify the width of each field (in the example below, the first variable is 5 columns, the second is 2 columns, the next 5 are 1 column the last 4 are 3 columns).

```
my.data <- read.clipboard.fwf(widths=c(5,2,rep(1,5),rep(3,4))
```

# 3.2.2 An example data set

Consider the data stored at remote data location. (This is the same file that we read directly above.) Open the file in your browser and then select all. Read them into the clipboard and go. (These data are selected variables from the first 200 cases from the msq data set in the *psych* package). Once you have read the data, it useful to see how many cases and how many variables you have dim and to find some basic descriptive statistics.

```
my.data <- read.clipboard()
headTail(my.data)
```

> headTa	ail (m	v dat	-a)													
		_		sed s	leepv	tired	drov	vsv a	nxiou	ıs j	itterv	nervou	s calı	n re	laxed	at.ease
1	1	1		1	1	1		1		1	1			1	1	1
2	1	1		0	1	1		1		0	0		0 :	1	1	1
3	1	0		0	0	1		0		0	0		0	1	2	2
4	1	1		1	1	1		1		1	3		2	1	2	1
197	1	1		0	1	2		1		0	0		0	1	1	1
198	2	2		0	0	1		0		1	0		0 :	2	3	3
199	1	3		0	1	0		1		0	1		0	3	3	3
200	1	2		0	1	1		0		0	0		0	2	3	3
> dim(my.data)																
[1] 200	12															
> describe(my.data)																
	vars	n	${\tt mean}$	s	l media	an trin	nmed	mad	min	max	range	skew	kurto	sis	se	
active	1	199	0.70	0.82	?	1 (	.59	1.48	0	3	3	0.97	0	.20	0.06	
alert			0.83			1 (	77.	1.48	0	3		0.51	-0	.41	0.05	
aroused	3	199	0.38	0.63	3	0 (	.25	0.00	0	3		1.55	1	. 68	0.04	
sleepy	4	199	1.77	1.04	ļ	2 1	L.84	1.48	0	3	_	-0.21	-1	.22	0.07	
tired	5	198	1.87	1.00	)		L.96	1.48	0	3		-0.43	-0	. 95	0.07	
drowsy	6	198	1.61	1.05	5	2 1	L.63	1.48	0	3		-0.07	-1	.21	0.07	
anxious	7	101	0.42	0.73		0 (	27	0.00	0	3	_		3	.36	0.07	
jittery	8	198	0.37	0.63		0 (	27	0.00	0	3	3	1.66	2	.74	0.04	
nervous	9	199	0.30	0.63	3	0 (	0.16	0.00	0	3		2.39	5	.79	0.04	
calm	10	199	1.66	0.93			L.70	1.48	0	3	_	-0.01	-0	.91	0.06	
relaxed	11	199	1.67	0.89	)	2 1	L.70	1.48	0	3	_	-0.05	-0	.81	0.06	
at.ease	12	199	1.53	0.93	}	1 1	L.53	1.48	0	3	3	0.07	-0	.87	0.07	

# 3.3 Reading data from a Qualtrics data set

If you have used Qualtrics to collect your data, you can export the data as a csv data file. Unfortunately, this file is poorly organized and has one too many header lines. You can open the file using a spreadsheet program (e.g. Excel) and then change the line above the data to be item labels (e.g., Q1, Q2, ....). Then select that line and all the lines of data that you want to read, and use the read.clipboard.tab function (see above).

# 4 Scoring scales: an example

To score particular items on particular scales, we must create a set of *scoring keys*. These simply tell us which items go on which scales. Note that we can have scales with overlapping items.

Two things to note. The number of variables is the total number of variables (columns) in the data file. You do not need to include all of these items in the scoring keys, but you need to say how many there are. For the keys, items are scored either +1, -1 or 0 (not scored). Just specify the items to score and their direction.

```
My.keys <- make.keys(my.data,list(EA=c(1:3,-4,-5,-6),TA=c(7:9,-10,-11,-12),

EAp =1:3,EAn=4:6,TAp =7:9,TAn=10:12))
```

These keys are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Scoring keys made by using the make.keys function.

Variable	EA	TA	EAp	EAn	TAp	TAn
active	1	0	1	0	0	0
alert	1	0	1	0	0	0
aroused	1	0	1	0	0	0
sleepy	-1	0	0	1	0	0
tired	-1	0	0	1	0	0
drowsy	-1	0	0	1	0	0
anxious	0	1	0	0	1	0
jittery	0	1	0	0	1	0
nervous	0	1	0	0	1	0
$\operatorname{calm}$	0	-1	0	0	0	1
relaxed	0	-1	0	0	0	1
at.ease	0	-1	0	0	0	1

Now, we use those keys to score the data using scoreItems:

```
R code
my.scales <- scoreItems(my.keys,my.data)</pre>
my.scales #show the output
my.scores <- my.scales$scores
> my.keys <- make.keys(my.data,list(EA=c(1:3,-4,-5,-6),TA=c(7:9,-10,-11,-12),
                     EAp =1:3, EAn=4:6, TAp =7:9, TAn=10:12))
> my.scales <- scoreItems(my.keys,my.data)</pre>
> my.scales #show the output
Call: scoreItems(keys = my.keys, items = my.data)
(Unstandardized) Alpha:
       EA TA EAP EAN TAP TAN
alpha 0.84 0.74 0.72 0.91 0.66 0.8
Standard errors of unstandardized Alpha:
        EA TA EAp EAn TAp TAn
     0.035 0.044 0.071 0.052 0.077 0.063
ASE
Average item correlation:
           EA TA EAp EAn TAp TAn
average.r 0.46 0.33 0.46 0.76 0.39 0.58
 Guttman 6* reliability:
          EA TA EAp EAn TAp TAn
Lambda.6 0.88 0.79 0.71 0.88 0.61 0.77
Signal/Noise based upon av.r :
             EA TA EAP EAn TAP TAn
Signal/Noise 5.2 2.9 2.6 9.7 1.9 4.1
```

```
Scale intercorrelations corrected for attenuation
raw correlations below the diagonal, alpha on the diagonal
 corrected correlations above the diagonal:
              TA
                  EAp
        EΑ
                        EAn
                                TAp
FΔ
    0.8387 -0.30 1.00 -1.06 -0.0045
                                     0.38
TA -0.2357 0.74 -0.26 0.26 1.0011 -1.17
EAp 0.7819 -0.19 0.72 -0.59 0.2350 0.45
EAn -0.9208 0.21 -0.48 0.91 0.1373 -0.26
TAp -0.0033
           0.70 0.16
                       0.11 0.6574 -0.45
TAn 0.3100 -0.90 0.35 -0.22 -0.3272 0.80
```

In order to see the item by scale loadings and frequency counts of the data print with the short option = FALSE

Two things to notice about this output is a) the message about how to get more information (item by scale correlations and frequency counts) and b) that the correlation matrix between the six scales has the raw correlations below the diagonal, alpha reliabilities on the diagonal, and correlations adjusted for reliability above the diagonal. Because EAp and EAn are both part of EA, they correlate with the total more than would be expected given their reliability. Hence the impossible values greater than |1.0|.

# 4.1 Long output

To get the scale correlations corrected for item overlap and scale reliability, we print the object that we found, but ask for long output.

```
R code
print (my.scales, short=FALSE)
> print(my.scales,short=FALSE)
Call: scoreItems(keys = my.keys, items = my.data)
(Unstandardized) Alpha:
       EA TA EAp EAn TAp TAn
alpha 0.84 0.74 0.72 0.91 0.66 0.8
Standard errors of unstandardized Alpha:
        EΑ
             TA EAp EAn TAp TAn
     0.035 0.044 0.071 0.052 0.077 0.063
Average item correlation:
           EΑ
                TA EAp EAn TAp TAn
average.r 0.46 0.33 0.46 0.76 0.39 0.58
 Guttman 6* reliability:
          EA TA EAD EAN TAD TAN
Lambda.6 0.88 0.79 0.71 0.88 0.61 0.77
Signal/Noise based upon av.r :
             EA TA EAp EAn TAp TAn
Signal/Noise 5.2 2.9 2.6 9.7 1.9 4.1
Scale intercorrelations corrected for attenuation
```

raw correlations below the diagonal, alpha on the diagonal

```
corrected correlations above the diagonal:
        EA
            TA EAp EAn
                                TAp
    0.8387 -0.30 1.00 -1.06 -0.0045
EΑ
                                     0.38
TA -0.2357 0.74 -0.26 0.26 1.0011 -1.17
EAp 0.7819 -0.19 0.72 -0.59 0.2350 0.45
EAn -0.9208 0.21 -0.48 0.91 0.1373 -0.26
TAp -0.0033 0.70 0.16 0.11 0.6574 -0.45
TAn 0.3100 -0.90 0.35 -0.22 -0.3272 0.80
Item by scale correlations:
corrected for item overlap and scale reliability
          EΑ
                TΑ
                    EAp EAn
                                TΑp
                                      TAn
        0.64 -0.25 0.78 -0.47
                               0.10
                                     0.39
active
alert
        0.58 -0.26 0.63 -0.47
                               0.08
                                     0.39
aroused 0.44 0.04 0.62 -0.27 0.36 0.14
sleepy -0.85 0.22 -0.55 0.89
                              0.12 -0.23
       -0.82 0.29 -0.55 0.85 0.16 -0.30
tired
drowsy -0.78
              0.16 -0.46
                         0.84
                               0.09 -0.17
anxious -0.11
              0.34 0.00
                         0.15
                               0.50 -0.19
jittery 0.03 0.48 0.21 0.07
                               0.62 - 0.31
nervous 0.05 0.53 0.22 0.05 0.68 -0.35
        0.11 -0.65 0.24 -0.02 -0.35 0.68
calm
relaxed 0.33 -0.71
                   0.34 -0.28 -0.37
                                     0.76
at.ease 0.39 -0.72 0.47 -0.29 -0.34
                                     0.79
Non missing response frequency for each item
          0
              1
                  2
                        3 miss
active 0.49 0.35 0.13 0.04 0.01
alert 0.37 0.46 0.16 0.02 0.02
aroused 0.70 0.23 0.07 0.01 0.01
sleepy 0.13 0.30 0.25 0.33 0.01
       0.12 0.23 0.33 0.33 0.01
tired
drowsy 0.17 0.30 0.27 0.25 0.01
anxious 0.68 0.25 0.04 0.03 0.50
jittery 0.69 0.26 0.04 0.01 0.01
nervous 0.78 0.17 0.04 0.02 0.01
calm 0.09 0.37 0.33 0.21 0.01
relaxed 0.09 0.35 0.37 0.20 0.01
at.ease 0.13 0.38 0.32 0.17 0.01>
```

#### 4.2 Correcting for overlapping items across scales

The scoreOverlap function will correct for item overlap. In the case of overlapping keys, (items being scored on multiple scales), scoreOverlap will adjust for this overlap by replacing the overlapping covariances (which are variances when overlapping) with the corresponding best estimate of an item's "true" variance using either the average correlation or the smc estimate for that item. This parallels the operation done when finding alpha reliability. This is similar to ideas suggested by Cureton (1966) and Bashaw and Anderson Jr (1967) but uses the smc or the average interitem correlation (default).

```
R code
scales.ov <- scoreOverlap(my.keys,my.data)</pre>
scales.ov
```

Call: scoreOverlap(keys = my.keys, r = my.data)

```
(Standardized) Alpha:
 EA TA EAP EAn TAP TAN
0.83 0.76 0.72 0.91 0.73 0.81
(Standardized) G6*:
 EA TA EAp EAn TAp TAn
0.66 0.61 0.72 0.88 0.69 0.77
Average item correlation:
 EA TA EAp EAn TAp TAn
0.45 0.34 0.47 0.76 0.48 0.58
Number of items:
EA TA EAp EAn TAp TAn
     6
        3 3
Signal to Noise ratio based upon average r and n
EA TA EAp EAn TAp TAn
5.0 3.1 2.6 9.8 2.7 4.1
Scale intercorrelations corrected for item overlap and attenuation
adjusted for overlap correlations below the diagonal, alpha on the diagonal
 corrected correlations above the diagonal:
           TA EAp
       EA
                       EAn
                              TΑp
                                     TAn
    0.833 -0.211 0.88 -0.893 0.073 0.39
TA -0.168 0.758 -0.12 0.234 0.831 -0.85
EAp 0.684 -0.086 0.72 -0.579
                              0.286 0.44
EAn -0.776 0.194 -0.47 0.907 0.111 -0.26
TAp 0.057 0.619 0.21 0.091 0.733 -0.42
TAn 0.320 -0.661 0.34 -0.222 -0.320 0.81
```

In order to see the item by scale loadings and frequency counts of the data print with the short option = FALSE

# 4.3 Get the actual scores for analysis.

7 0.33 0.67 0.33 2.67 0.00 1.67

Although we would probably not look at the raw scores, we can if we want by asking for the scores object which is part of the my.scales output. For printing purposes, we round them to two decimal places for compactness. We just look at first 10 cases.

```
my.scores <- my.scales$scores
headTail(round(my.scores,2))

round(my.scores[1:10,],2)

EA TA EAP EAN TAP TAN

1 1.50 1.50 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
2 1.33 1.00 0.67 1.00 0.00 1.00
3 1.50 0.67 0.33 0.33 0.00 1.67
4 1.50 1.83 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.33
5 1.83 0.17 1.67 1.00 0.33 3.00
6 1.17 0.67 1.33 2.00 0.00 1.67
```

```
8 0.83 1.00 0.00 1.33 0.00 1.00
9 1.17 1.00 0.67 1.33 0.33 1.33
10 0.83 0.83 0.67 2.00 0.33 1.67
```

## 4.4 The example, continued

Once you have the results, you should probably want to describe them and also show a graphic of the scatterplot using the pairs.panels function (Figure 1). (Note that for the figure, we set the plot character to be '.' so that it makes a cleaner plot.)

```
R code
describe (my.scores)
pairs.panels(my.scores,pch='.')
describe (my.scores)
                    sd median trimmed mad min max range
    vars
          n mean
                                                             skew kurtosis
                                              0 2.67
       1 200 0.94 0.66
                         1.00
                                  0.91 0.74
                                                             0.34
                                                                     -0.66 0.05
ΕA
                                                      2.67
ТΔ
       2 200 0.84 0.51
                         0.83
                                  0.82 0.49
                                              0 2.67
                                                            0 40
                                                                      0.15 0.04
                                                      2.67
EAp
       3 200 0.64 0.59
                         0.67
                                  0.56 0.49
                                              0 2.33
                                                      2.33
                                                            0.90
                                                                      0.21 0.04
EAn
       4 200 1.75 0.94
                         1.67
                                  1.80 0.99
                                              0 3.00
                                                      3.00 -0.20
                                                                     -1.13 0.07
       5 200 0.29 0.46
                         0.00
                                  0.19 0.00
                                              0 2.67
                                                      2.67
                                                                      6.08 0.03
TAp
                                                            2.26
TAn
       6 200 1.62 0.77
                         1.67
                                  1.61 0.99
                                              0 3.00
                                                      3.00
                                                            0.12
                                                                     -0.69 0.05
```

# 5 Exploring a real data set

The 12 mood items for 200 subjects were taken from the much larger data set, msq in the *psych* package. That data set has 92 variables for 3896 subjects. We can repeat our analysis of EA and TA on that data set. This is a data set collected over about 10 years at the Personality, Motivation and Cognition laboratory at Northwestern and described by Revelle and Anderson (1997) and Rafaeli and Revelle (2006).

#### 5.1 Conventional reliability and scoring

First we get the data for the items that match our small example. Then we describe the data, and finally, find the 6 scales as we did before.

```
R code
select <- colnames (my.data)
small.msq <- msq[select]</pre>
describe (small.msq)
msq.scales <- scoreItems(my.keys,small.msq)</pre>
             #show the output
msq.scales
 describe (small.msq)
                          sd median trimmed mad min max range
                n mean
        vars
                                                                  skew kurtosis
active
           1 3890 1.03 0.93
                                  1
                                        0.95 1.48
                                                    0
                                                         3
                                                               3
                                                                  0.47
                                                                           -0.76 0.01
                                        1.09 1.48
                                                     0
                                                         3
                                                               3
                                                                  0.33
                                                                           -0.76 0.01
           2 3885 1.15 0.91
alert
                                  1
           3 3890 0.71 0.85
                                   0
                                        0.59 0.00
                                                     0
                                                         3
                                                               3
                                                                  0.95
                                                                           -0.04 0.01
aroused
                                                                           -1.04 0.02
sleepy
           4 3880 1.25 1.05
                                  1
                                        1.18 1.48
                                                     0
                                                         3
                                                               3 0.40
tired
           5 3886 1.39 1.04
                                        1.36 1.48
                                                               3 0.22
                                                                           -1.10 0.02
```




Figure 1: A simple scatter plot matrix shows the histograms for the variables on the diagonal, the correlations above the diagonal, and the scatter plots below the diagonal. The best fitting loess regression is shown as the red line.

```
6 3884 1.16 1.03
                              1.08 1.48 0 3
                                                 3 0.46
                                                           -0.93 0.02
drowsv
                          1
anxious
       7 2047 0.67 0.86 0 0.54 0.00 0 3 3 1.09
                                                           0.26 0.02
                              0.45 0.00 0 3 3 1.24
0.22 0.00 0 3 3 1.93
         8 3890 0.59 0.80
                                                            0.81 0.01
jittery
                           0
        9 3879 0.35 0.65
                           0
                                                            3.47 0.01
nervous
                               1.56 1.48 0 3
                                                  3 -0.01
calm
        10 3814 1.55 0.92
                           2
                                                           -0.83 0.01
relaxed 11 3889 1.68 0.88
                              1.72 1.48 0 3
                                                 3 -0.17
                          2
                                                            -0.68 0.01
                                                           -0.83 0.01
at.ease 12 3879 1.59 0.92
                          2
                                1.61 1.48 0 3 3 -0.09
```

Call: scoreItems(keys = my.keys, items = small.msq)

(Unstandardized) Alpha:

EA TA EAp EAn TAp TAn alpha 0.87 0.75 0.81 0.93 0.64 0.8

Average item correlation:

EA TA EAP EAN TAP TAN average.r 0.54 0.34 0.58 0.81 0.37 0.57

Guttman 6\* reliability:

EA TA EAP EAN TAP TAN Lambda.6 0.9 0.77 0.76 0.9 0.59 0.74

Scale intercorrelations corrected for attenuation raw correlations below the diagonal, alpha on the diagonal corrected correlations above the diagonal:

EA 0.874 -0.0207 1.004 -1.006 0.218 0.168
TA -0.017 0.7515 -0.011 0.024 1.096 -1.140
EAp 0.842 -0.0084 0.806 -0.618 0.360 0.246
EAn -0.906 0.0197 -0.534 0.927 -0.067 -0.076
TAp 0.163 0.7590 0.258 -0.052 0.638 -0.512
TAn 0.141 -0.8837 0.198 -0.065 -0.366 0.800

## 5.2 Show the correction for overlap

msq.scales.ov <- scoreOverlap(my.keys,small.msq)
msq.scales.ov #show the output

> msq.scales.ov <- scoreOverlap(my.keys,small.msq)</pre>

> msq.scales.ov #show the output

Call: scoreOverlap(keys = my.keys, r = small.msq)

(Standardized) Alpha:

EA TA EAP EAN TAP TAN 0.87 0.78 0.81 0.93 0.73 0.80

(Standardized) G6\*:

EA TA EAP EAN TAP TAN 0.69 0.63 0.76 0.90 0.67 0.75

Average item correlation:

EA TA EAP EAN TAP TAN 0.53 0.37 0.58 0.81 0.48 0.58

```
EA TA EAP EAN TAP TAN
6 6 3 3 3 3

Signal to Noise ratio based upon average r and n
EA TA EAP EAN TAP TAN
6.8 3.5 4.2 12.9 2.7 4.1

Scale intercorrelations corrected for item overlap and attenuation adjusted for overlap correlations below the diagonal, alpha on the diagonal corrected correlations above the diagonal:
```

EA 0.872 0.0264 0.895 -0.8999 0.240 0.176
TA 0.022 0.7753 0.057 0.0053 0.856 -0.866
EAp 0.750 0.0450 0.806 -0.6153 0.369 0.244
EAn -0.810 0.0045 -0.532 0.9283 -0.077 -0.078
TAp 0.192 0.6447 0.283 -0.0632 0.732 -0.490
TAn 0.147 -0.6837 0.197 -0.0675 -0.376 0.804

Number of items:

In order to see the item by scale loadings and frequency counts of the data print with the short option = FALSE

# 6 Even more analysis: Factoring, clustering, and more tutorials

Far more analyses could be done with these data, but the basic scale scoring techniques is a start. Download the vignette for using *psych* for even more guidance. On a Mac, this is also available in the vignettes list in the help menu.

In addition, look at the examples in the help for scoreItems.

For examples and tutorials in how to do factor analysis or to find coefficient omega see the factor analysis tutorial or the omega tutorial.

# References

- Bashaw, W. and Anderson Jr, H. E. (1967). A correction for replicated error in correlation coefficients. *Psychometrika*, 32(4):435–441.
- Cureton, E. (1966). Corrected item-test correlations. *Psychometrika*, 31(1):93–96.
- R Core Team (2016). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Rafaeli, E. and Revelle, W. (2006). A premature consensus: Are happiness and sadness truly opposite affects? *Motivation and Emotion*, 30(1):1–12.
- Revelle, W. (2016). psych: Procedures for Personality and Psychological Research. Northwestern University, Evanston, http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/psych/. R package version 1.6.9.
- Revelle, W. and Anderson, K. J. (1997). Personality, motivation and cognitive performance. Final report to the Army Research Institute on contract MDA 903-93-K-0008, Northwestern University:.